

<p>TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</p>	<p>REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK</p>
---	--

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California, on the following Patents or Trademarks

DOCKET NO	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CV 11-03570 LB	July 20, 2011	Northern District of California, 1301 Clay St., RM 400S, Oakland, CA 94612
PLAINTIFF TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION, ET AL		DEFENDANT EFFICIENT DRIVETRAINS, INC, ET AL
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 5,842,534		SEE ATTACHED
2 6,054,844		
3 6,116,343		
4 6,809,429		
5 6,847,189		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		SEE ATTACHED
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Richard W. Wicking		

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218)
2 *moleseki@kenyon.com*
3 KENYON & KENYON LLP
4 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600
5 San Jose, CA 95110-2731
6 Telephone: 408.975.7500
7 Facsimile: 408.975.7501
8 (Additional Counsel Listed Below)

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
10 *Toyota Motor Corporation*
11 *and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc.*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION and
15 TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC.

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 EFFICIENT DRIVETRAINS INC. and
19 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
20 OF CALIFORNIA,

21 Defendants

OPINION
HHR 1/12/11
Fischer
Clark, Att. to the Northern District of California
Northern District of California

LB

CV 11-03570

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

22 Plaintiffs Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. (collectively
23 "Toyota") by and through its undersigned attorneys allege, upon knowledge as to its own acts and
24 upon information and belief as to the acts of others, that:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

25 1. This is an action for a declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity of
26 U.S. Patent Nos. 5,842,534 (the "534 Patent"), 6,054,844 (the "844 Patent"), 6,116,363 (the
27 "363 Patent"), 6,809,429 (the "429 Patent"), and 6,847,189 (the "189 Patent") (collectively, the
"Asserted Patents").

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Toyota Motor Corporation ("TMC") is a Japanese Corporation having its principal place of business at 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota City, Aichi Prefecture 471-8571, Japan.

3. Plaintiff Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. ("TMS") is a California corporation having its principal place of business at 19001 S. Western Avenue, Torrance, CA 90509.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Efficient Drivetrains Inc. ("EDI") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 319 Ramona Street, Palo Alto, California 94301.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant The Regents of the University of California ("UC") is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1111 Franklin Street, 12th Floor, Oakland, California 94607-5200.

JURISDICTION

6. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 and the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, U.S.C. § 1 et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over EDI because its principal place of business is in Palo Alto, Santa Clara County, California.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over UC because its principal place of business is in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

9. This Court has declaratory judgment jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 because, as alleged below, there is an actual and justiciable controversy between Toyota, on one hand, and EDI and U.C. on the other, regarding the noninfringement and invalidity of the Asserted Patents.

10. Since 2000, Toyota has sold certain hybrid electric vehicles in the U.S., including the Toyota Prius, Toyota Camry Hybrid, Toyota Highlander Hybrid, Lexus RX400h, Lexus RX450h, Lexus LS600hL, Lexus GS450h, Lexus HS250h, and Lexus CT 200h (collectively, "Toyota Hybrids").

11. Upon information and belief, UC is the owner of each of the Asserted Patents, and UC has granted EDI an exclusive license with respect to each of the Asserted Patents. True and

correct copies of each of the Asserted Patents are attached hereto as Exhibits A through E.

12. EDI, through its counsel, and purportedly with authorization from UC, has written to Toyota offering Toyota a license to the Asserted Patents. In subsequent discussions between the parties, EDI has contended that the Toyota Hybrids infringe each of the Asserted Patents and has indicated it intends to enforce each of the Asserted Patents against Toyota. Toyota denies that it infringes any of the Asserted Patents and contends that the Asserted Patents are invalid. As a result, an actual and justiciable controversy exists between Toyota, on one hand, and EDI and UC, on the other, regarding the noninfringement and invalidity of the Asserted Patents.

VENUE

13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c). EDI conducts business within this district and its principal place of business is within this district. UC conducts business within this district and its principal place of business is within this district.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

14. This is an Intellectual Property Action. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), the action may be assigned on a district-wide basis.

FIRST COUNT

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NONINFRINGEMENT

15. Toyota incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 14 as if fully set forth herein.

16. Toyota does not and has not directly infringed, contributed to the infringement of, nor actively induced others to infringe, any claim of the Asserted Patents.

SECOND COUNT

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY

17. Toyota incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 as if fully set forth herein.

18. Each of the claims of the Asserted Patents is invalid for failure to comply with one or more of the conditions and requirements of the patent laws, including, but not limited to, 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112, and the rules, regulations and laws pertaining to those provisions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Toyota respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment:

- a. Declaring that Toyota has not infringed any claim of the Asserted Patents;
- b. Declaring that each of the claims of the Asserted Patents is invalid;
- c. Finding that, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and/or other applicable laws, this is an exceptional case and that Toyota be awarded costs of this action and its attorneys' fees to the extent permitted by law; and
- d. Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: July 20, 2011

KENYON & KENYON LLP

By:
Megan Wayman Olesek (SBN 191218)
molesek@kenyon.com
KENYON & KENYON LLP
33 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110
Telephone: 408.975.7500
Facsimile: 408.975.7501

George E. Badenoch (*pro hac vice*
application to be submitted)
gbadenoch@kenyon.com
John Flock (*pro hac vice* application to
be submitted)
jflock@kenyon.com
Thomas R. Makin (*pro hac vice*
application to be submitted)
tmakin@kenyon.com
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004-1007
Telephone: 212.425.7200
Facsimile: 212.425.5288

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota
Motor Sales, USA, Inc.*

JURY DEMAND

Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. demand a jury trial of all issues so triable.

Dated: July 20, 2011

KENYON & KENYON LLP

By: Megan Whyman Olcsck (SBN 191218)
motisek@kenyon.com
KENYON & KENYON LLP
33 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110
Telephone: 408.975.7500
Facsimile: 408.975.7501

George E. Badenoch (*pro hac vice*
application to be submitted)
gbadenoch@kenyon.com
John Flock (*pro hac vice* application to
be submitted)
jflock@kenyon.com
Thomas R. Makin (*pro hac vice*
application to be submitted)
tmakin@kenyon.com
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004-1007
Telephone: 212.425.7200
Facsimile: 212.425.5288

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota
Motor Sales, USA, Inc*